



EW
AF

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	09/806,401
	Filing Date	10/22/2001
	First Named Inventor	Harry Hedler
	Art Unit	2822
	Examiner Name	David E. Graybill
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	KSN0012

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION RETURN POSTCARD
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm Name	Baker & Daniels		
Signature			
Printed name	Eric J. Groen		
Date	01/04/2005	Reg. No.	32,230

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:			
Signature			
Typed or printed name	Eric J. Groen	Date	01/04/2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Appl. No. 09/806,401
Amdt. Dated January 4, 2005
Request for Reconsideration as to Finality of Office Action of December 13, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s) : Harry Hedler
Application No. : 09/806,401
Filed : 10/22/2001
Title : ELECTRONIC MODULE, ESPECIALLY A MULTICHIP
MODULE, WITH MULTI-LAYER METALLIZATION AND
CORRESPONDING PRODUCTION METHOD
Group/Art Unit : 2822
Examiner : David E. Graybill
Docket No. : KSN0012

MAIL STOP AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

The Applicants hereby request the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the Final Office Action issued on December 13, 2004, as the Final Rejection is premature. In particular, pursuant to MPEP §706.07(h) VIII,

"The action immediately subsequent to the filing of an RCE with a submission and fee under 37 CFR 1.114 may be made final only if the conditions set forth in MPEP § 706.07(b) for making a first action final in a continuing application are met."

Appl. No. 09/806,401

Amdt. Dated January 4, 2005

Request for Reconsideration as to Finality of Office Action of December 13, 2004

Meanwhile, MPEP § 706.07(b) indicates that,

"The claims of a new application may be finally rejected in the first Office action in those situations where (A) the new application is a continuing application of, or a substitute for, an earlier application, and (B) all claims of the new application (1) are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application, and (2) would have been properly finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application."

Neither B1 nor B2 of MPEP § 706.07(b) are met in the instant case. First, the claims of the new application are not drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application. Applicants filed with the filing of the RCE, a Preliminary Amendment with amended claims. This amendment was above and beyond the amendment in the Final Response dated August 20, 2004, and therefore the claims were not drawn to the invention. Secondly, the claims could not have been properly finally rejected, as the Examiner has raised a new ground of rejection including a new reference which was not of record in the preceding Office Action.

More particularly, the Examiner has rejected claims 1 through 4 as being unpatentable over the combination of Caillat and Fallon. Fallon was not of record prior to this action, and thus the Examiner could not have properly finally rejected these claims in the next Office Action. Moreover, the Examiner felt the need to conduct a further search in order to reject the amended claims, indicating that the final rejection was premature.

Accordingly, the Examiner is requested to reverse the finality of the Office Action submitted on December 13, 2004, and provide a new Office Action and time for reply. Should the Examiner disagree with this request, a detailed explanation and supporting references are requested. The Examiner is also invited to contact Applicants' representative below if he has any questions or comments.

Appl. No. 09/806,401

Amdt. Dated January 4, 2005

Request for Reconsideration as to Finality of Office Action of December 13, 2004

Respectfully submitted,



Eric J. Groen

Registration No. 32,230

Attorney for Applicant

BAKER & DANIELS

205 West Jefferson Blvd., Suite 250

South Bend, IN 46601

Tel: (574)234-4149

Fax: (574)239-1900

Enclosure: Postcard

I hereby certify that this correspondence is
being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as
First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria,
VA 22313-1450 on

January 4, 2005

Date



Eric J. Groen, Reg. No. 32,230